## **Rubric to Assess Discussion Performance in an Upper-level Undergraduate or Graduate Seminar** Adapted from Relearning by Design, Inc., 2000

	Sophisticated	Competent	Not Yet Competent	Unacceptable
Component				
Conduct	Student shows respect for members of the class, both in speech and manner, and for the method of shared inquiry and peer discussion. Does not dominate discussion. Student challenges ideas respectfully, encourages and supports others to do the same.	Student shows respect for members of the class and for the method of shared inquiry and peer discussion. Participates regularly in the discussion but occasionally has difficulty accepting challenges to his/her ideas or maintaining respectful attitude when challenging others' ideas.	Student shows little respect for the class or the process as evidenced by speech and manner. Sometimes resorts to ad hominem attacks when in disagreement with others.	Student shows a lack of respect for members of the group and the discussion process. Often dominates the discussion or disengages from the process. When contributing, can be argumentative or dismissive of others' ideas, or resorts to ad hominem attacks.
Ownership/ Leadership	Takes responsibility for maintaining the flow and quality of the discussion whenever needed. Helps to redirect or refocus discussion when it becomes sidetracked or unproductive. Makes efforts to engage reluctant participants. Provides constructive feedback and support to others.	Will take on responsibility for maintaining flow and quality of discussion, and encouraging others to participate but either is not always effective or is effective but does not regularly take on the responsibility.	Rarely takes an active role in maintaining the flow or direction of the discussion. When put in a leadership role, often acts as a guard rather than a facilitator: constrains or biases the content and flow of the discussion.	Does not play an active role in maintaining the flow of discussion or undermines the efforts of others who are trying to facilitate discussion.

## **Rubric to Assess Discussion Performance in an Upper-level Undergraduate or Graduate Seminar** Adapted from Relearning by Design, Inc., 2000

Reasoning	Arguments or positions are	Arguments or positions are	Contributions to the	Comments are frequently so
	reasonable and supported with	reasonable and mostly	discussion are more often	illogical or without
	evidence from the readings.	supported by evidence from	based on opinion or unclear	substantiation that others are
	Often deepens the conversation	the readings. In general, the	views than on reasoned	unable to critique or even
	by going beyond the text,	comments and ideas	arguments or positions	follow them. Rather than
	recognizing implications and	contribute to the group's	based on the readings.	critique the text the student
	extensions of the text. Provides	understanding of the material	Comments or questions	may resort to ad hominem
	analysis of complex ideas that	and concepts.	suggest a difficulty in	attacks on the author
	help deepen the inquiry and		following complex lines of	instead.
	further the conversation.		argument or student's	
			arguments are convoluted	
			and difficult to follow.	
Listening	Always actively attends to what	Usually listens well and	Does not regularly listen	Behavior frequently reflects
	others say as evidenced by	takes steps to check	well as indicated by the	a failure to listen or attend
	regularly building on,	comprehension by asking	repetition of comments or	to the discussion as
	clarifying, or responding to	clarifying and probing	questions presented earlier,	indicated by repetition of
	their comments. Often reminds	questions, and making	or frequent non sequiturs.	comments and questions,
	group of comments made by	connections to earlier		non sequiturs, off-task
	someone earlier that are	comments. Responds to ideas		activities.
	pertinent.	and questions offered by		
		other participants.		
Reading	Student has carefully read and	Student has read and	Student has read the	Student either is unable to
	understood the readings as	understood the readings as	material, but comments	adequately understand and
	evidenced by oral contributions;	evidenced by oral	often indicate that he/she	interpret the material or has
	familiarity with main ideas,	contributions. The work	didn't read or think	frequently come to class
	supporting evidence and	demonstrates a grasp of the	carefully about it, or	unprepared, as indicated by
	secondary points. Comes to	main ideas and evidence but	misunderstood or forgot	serious errors or an inability
	class prepared with questions	sometimes interpretations are	many points. Class conduct	to answer basic questions or
	and critiques of the readings.	questionable. Comes	suggests inconsistent	contribute to discussion.
		prepared with questions.	commitment to preparation.	